

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,059	06/15/2006	Rene Johan Haan	TS1391 US	8848	
23632 7590 07/08/2008 SHELL OIL COMPANY P O BOX 2463			EXAMINER		
			CHO, JENNIFER Y		
HOUSTON, T	X 772522463		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583,059 HAAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		JENNIFER Y. CHO	1621	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI sistens of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period via the prior of the reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period via the prior of the reply within the sation of standard prior of the reply with the sation of the state of the sation of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Jt. This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is
Diamonisi	on of Claims	, , , ,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All by Some * cy None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureat see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
6) Other:	
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5)  Notice of Informal Patent A≱≱ lication

Application/Control Number: 10/583,059

Art Unit: 1621

made as shown herein

## Detailed Action

This office action is in response to Applicant's communication filed on 4/24/08.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection has been withdrawn. Applicant has provided a convincing argument so that the reference fails to teach the present invention as set forth in claim 1. However, upon further consideration, new ground(s) of rejections are

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kummer et al. (US 4,777,285).

The instant claims are drawn to the preparation of an alkyl alkenoate, by reacting a lactone and an alkyl alcohol with a strong acid catalyst and operating under continuous distillation.

Kummer et al. teaches preparation of an alkyl alkenoate, by reacting a lactone and an alkyl alcohol with a strong acid catalyst in which the reaction can be continuous Application/Control Number: 10/583,059

Art Unit: 1621

(column 2, lines 4-5), the reaction mixture is distilled and the lactone is recycled to the reaction (column 2, lines 54-56).

Kummer et al. is deficient in that it does not explicitly teach continuous distillation, the molar ratio of the lactone to the alkanol, and gamma valerolactone, which is one CH<sub>2</sub> group from Applicant's claimed compound.

In reference Applicant's limitations for continuous distillation and the molar ratio of the lactone to the alkanol, it is the position of the Examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would through routine and normal experimentation determine the optimization of these limitations to provide the best effective variable depending on the results desired. Thus it would be obvious in the optimization process to optimize the distillation step and the molar ratio, particularly since Kummer et al. teaches a continuous reaction and a distillation step in which the starting material is recycled to the reaction. The Applicant does not show any unusual and/or unexpected results for the limitations stated. Note that the prior art provides the same effect desired by Applicant, the preparation of alkyl alkenoates in high yield and purity for the chemical industry.

In reference to the limitation for gamma valerolactone, in which n is 2 versus 3, it is the position of the Examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would through routine and normal experimentation determine the appropriate carbon chain length for the lactone. Slight variations in the alkyl chain length suggest the compounds have similar properties and utilities. "Structural relationships may provide the requisite motivation or suggestion to modify known compounds to obtain

Application/Control Number: 10/583,059

Art Unit: 1621

new compounds. For example, a prior art compound may suggest its homolog because homologs often have similar properties and therefore chemists of ordinary skill would ordinarily contemplate making them to try to obtain compounds with improved properties." (see MPEP § 2144.08c). In addition, adjacent homologues and structural isomers are generally so structurally similar that "without more" such structural similarity could give rise to prima facie obviousness (see In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426). In the absence of unexpected results, one skilled in the art would expect that the instant claims, directed to compounds that are analogous to the compounds of Kummer et al. are prima facie obvious.

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the adjacent homolog of Kummer et al.'s lactone, which is one CH<sub>2</sub> group from Applicant's gamma valerolactone. Absent any showing of unusual and/or unexpected results over Applicant's particular lactone and alkyl alkenoate, the art obtains the same effect on the compound's efficacy and utility. The expected result would be the effective synthesis of alkyl alkenoates for the chemical industry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Y. Cho whose telephone number is (571) 272 6246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (571) 272 0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,059 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Cho Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1621

> /SHAILENDRA - KUMAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621